1 2 3 4	Todd C. Atkins (CASB 208879) tatkins@atkinsdavidson.com Atkins & Davidson, APC 701 B Street, Suite 1170 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 255-2380 Facsimile: (619) 231-4984									
5	Joseph J. Siprut*									
6	jsiprut@siprut.com SIPRUT PC									
7	122 South Michigan Ave., Suite 1850									
8	Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 588-1440									
9	Facsimile: (312) 427-1850									
10	*Pro hac vice admittance to be sought									
11	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class									
12	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT								
13	FOR THE SOUTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
14										
15	AMELIA FOOS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,									
16	Plaintiff,	Case No. <u>'11CV2794 L MDD</u>								
17	V.	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:								
18	ANN, INC, d/b/a AnnTaylor Retail, Inc., a	Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1747.08								
19	Delaware corporation,									
20	Defendant.									
21										
22	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT									
23	Plaintiff Amelia Foos ("Plaintiff") bring	s this Class Action Complaint against Defendant								
24	Ann, Inc. d/b/a AnnTaylor Retail, Inc. ("Defe	endant" or "Ann Taylor") to put a stop to Ann								
25	Taylor's unlawful practice of requiring and recording private consumer information in violation of the Song-Beverly Credit Card Act of 1971, California Civil Code § 1747.08 (the "Song-									
26										
27										
28										

Beverly Credit Card Act" or the "Act"). Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, complains and alleges upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by her attorneys:

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. In 1971, the California legislature passed the Song-Beverly Credit Card Act (the "Act") to protect California consumers from, among other things, unfair business practices, including specifically unfair business practices in connection with the usage and billing of credit cards.
- 2. In 1990, California Civil Code section 1747.08 was added to the Act to address and prevent the misuse and improper collection of personal identification information, such as billing addresses, for such purposes as marketing and advertising, among others. In adding Section 1747.08 to the Act, the California legislature found that there was no legitimate need to obtain such personal information from credit card customers, other than what was necessary to complete the transaction.
- 3. Specifically, California Civil Code section 1747.08 states that retail merchants who accept credit card payments during the course of business transactions cannot:
 - (1) request, or require as a condition to accepting the credit card as payment in full or in part for goods or services, the cardholder to write any personal identification information upon the credit card transaction form or otherwise, [or]
 - (2) request, or require as a condition to accepting the credit card as payment in full or in part for goods or services, the cardholder to provide personal identification information, which the person, firm, partnership, association, or corporation accepting the credit card writes, causes to be written, or otherwise records upon the credit card transaction form or otherwise.

- 4. California Civil Code 1747.08(b) defines personal identification information as "information concerning the cardholder, other than information set forth on the credit card, and including, but not limited to, the cardholder's address and telephone number." (§ 747.08, subd. (b).)
- 5. Defendant Ann Taylor is a large retail outlet with at least 896 store locations throughout the country, including a number of stores in California.
- 6. This action arises from Ann Taylor's violation of California Civil Code Section 1747.08 through its practice of requiring, as a condition of using a credit card to make a purchase, Plaintiff's and the Class members' personal identification information, specifically their ZIP codes.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). In the aggregate, Plaintiff's claims and the claims of the other members of the Class exceed \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs, and there are numerous class members who are citizens of states other than Ann Taylor's respective states of citizenship.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), (2) and 1391(c) as: Ann Taylor is deemed to reside in this District because it is subject to personal jurisdiction here; a substantial part of the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claims emanated from activities within this District; and Ann Taylor conducts substantial business in this District.

III. PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff is a natural person and citizen of the state of California.
- 10. Ann Taylor is a corporation incorporated and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware with its headquarters located at 7 Times Square, 15th Floor, city of

New York, State of New York. Defendant conducts business throughout the United States and California.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 11. On November 15, 2010, Plaintiff shopped and purchased items at an Ann Taylor retail store location in San Francisco, California.
- 12. To consummate each purchase, Plaintiff elected to use, and did use, her credit card as her form of payment.
- 13. As a condition of using her credit card, Plaintiff was required by Ann Taylor to enter personal identification information associated with the credit card, including her full and complete ZIP code. Ann Taylor would not allow Plaintiff to complete her purchase without supplying such information.
- 14. Ann Taylor recorded, stored, and continues to store Plaintiff's personal identification information, including Plaintiff's name, zip code, and credit card number, in its databases.

V. <u>CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS</u>

15. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of herself and as a class action, pursuant to the provisions of Rules 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of a class defined as:

All persons from whom Ann Taylor requested and recorded personal identification information in conjunction with a credit card transaction occurring in California.

Excluded from the Class are Ann Taylor and its subsidiaries and affiliates; all persons who make a timely election to be excluded from the Class; governmental entities; and the judge to whom this case is assigned and any immediate family thereof.

- 16. Certification of Plaintiff's claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the elements of her claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.
- 17. **Numerosity Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1).** The exact number of the members of the Class is unknown and is not available to Plaintiff at this time, but individual joinder in this case is impracticable. The Class consists of millions of individuals. Class members can be easily identified through Defendant's records and public records.
- 18. Commonality and Predominance Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3). Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
 - (a) Whether each Class member engaged in a credit card transaction with Defendant;
 - (b) Whether Defendant required Class members to provide personal identification information during such credit card transactions;
 - (c) Whether Defendant recorded Class members' personal identification information in its databases;
 - (d) Whether Defendant's conduct and practice of requiring and recording such personal identification information during credit card transactions constitutes a violation of California Civil Code section 1747.08; and
 - (e) The proper measure of civil penalties.
- 19. **Typicality Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3).** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the class. Plaintiff, like every other Class member,

was exposed to virtually identical conduct by the Defendant, and is entitled to civil penalties of up to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) per violation pursuant to California Civil Code section 1747.08(e).

- 20. Adequate Representation Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4). Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of the Class, and has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex litigation and class actions. Plaintiff has no interest antagonistic to that of the Class, and Defendant has no defenses unique to the Plaintiff.
- 21. Superiority Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3): This class action is appropriate for certification because class proceedings are superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy and joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable. The recovery to which individual members of the Class are entitled will likely be small relative to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex litigation necessitated by Defendant's wrongful conduct. Thus, it would be virtually impossible for the individual members of the Class to obtain effective relief for Defendant's misconduct. Even if each member of the Class could sustain such individual litigation, it would not be preferable to a class action because individual litigation would increase the delay and expenses to all parties due to the complex legal and factual controversies presented in this Complaint. By contrast, a class action presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. Economies of time, effort, and expense will be fostered and uniformity of decisions will be ensured.
- 22. Plaintiff reserves the right to revise the Class definition based on information learned through discovery.

VI. CLAIMS ALLEGED

COUNT I

Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1747.08 ("Song-Beverly Credit Card Act of 1971") (On behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. California Civil Code section 1747.08 prohibits any corporation, which accepts credit cards during business transactions, from requesting or requiring personal identification information from cardholders and then recording it in conjunction with the credit card transaction.
- 25. Ann Taylor is a corporation that accepts credit cards for the transaction of business.
- 26. During the one-year period preceding the filing of this Class Action Complaint through the present, Ann Taylor regularly required and recorded personal identification information, in the form of ZIP codes, from cardholders paying for services by credit card.
- 27. It is and was Ann Taylor's routine business practice to intentionally engage in the conduct described in this cause of action with respect to every person who paid for a purchase from Ann Taylor using a credit card.
- 28. Due to Ann Taylor's violations as set forth herein, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to civil penalties in amounts of up to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) per violation pursuant to California Civil Code section 1747.08(e).

VII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, requests that the Court enter an order and judgment in her favor and against Ann Taylor as follows:

 $_{28} \parallel_{_}$

1	(a) Certification of the proposed Class pursuant to Federal Rules of Civ	il Procedure							
2	(b)(2) and $(b)(2)$.								
3	Code section 1747.08; (d) Awarding statutory penalties of \$1,000 per violation of California Civil Code section 1747.08; (e) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable litigation expenses and								
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10 11									
12									
13	(1) Tiwarding Flament and the Class pre- and post Judgment interest, and								
14	(g) Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable an	d just.							
15	5 Dated: December 1, 2011 Respectfully submitted,								
16		half of all							
17	7 others similarly situated								
18	8								
19	One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff at	By: s/ Todd Atkins One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff and the							
20	Todd C. Atkins								
21 22	A TEXTURE OF DECEMBER A DC								
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	701 B Street								
24	San Diego, California 92101								
25	Facsimile: (619) 231-4984								
26	6								
27	7								
,,	。								

Case 3:11-cv-02794-L-MDD Document 1 Filed 12/01/11 Page 9 of 10

1	Joseph J. Siprut* jsiprut@siprut.com
2	SIPRUT PC
3	122 South Michigan Avenue Suite 1850
4	Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 588-1440
5	Facsimile: (312) 427-1850
6	
7	*Pro hac vice admittance to be sought
8	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class
9	4850-7933-5950, v. 1
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the of the decider officer (SED in								_				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN'	TS							
AMELIA FOOS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated			+	ANN, INC, d/b/a AnnTaylor Retail, Inc., a Delaware corporation							1	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff U.S	S		County of Reside	nce of 1	First Li	sted Defenda	int				
, ,	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	S)		The state of the s	LAND (CONDE			ONLY) SE THE LOCATI	ION OF T	THE	
	, Address, and Telephone Number)		4470	Attorneys (If Kno	wn)			'11	CV2794 L	_	MDD	
Todd C. Atkins, Atkins & San Diego, CA 92101,		3 Street, Suite	1170,									_
	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	one Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O	F PR	INCI	PAL PAR	TTES	Place an "X" in (One Box	for Plaint	iff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not	The state of the s		(For Diversity Cases O		DE	F 1 Incorpora		and One Box fincipal Place		dant) DEF	5.70
2 U.S. Government	# 4 Diversity		Citize	n of Another State	O 2	0			rincipal Place	5	5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)	44.000.000						Another State			
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3		3 Foreign N	Nation		□ 6	6	
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only											
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		O Agriculture		2777	ppeal 28 USC			STATU		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐			0 Other Food & Drug	i i	J 423 V	Vithdrawal	130	☐ 400 State R ☐ 410 Antitru		mitent	
☐ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Maipractic 365 Personal Injury		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 1		2	8 USC 157		 430 Banks : 450 Comme 		ing	
 □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability		0 Liquor Laws	1	PRO	223683(6)	TS	☐ 450 Commo			
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	368 Asbestos Persona		0 R.R. & Truck			opyrights		☐ 470 Racket			
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability		Airline Regs. Occupational		3 830 P 3 840 T	atent rademark		480 Consum	t Organiza ner Credi		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER	TY	Safety Health					☐ 490 Cable/5	Sat TV		
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ Liability ☐	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		0 Other	-	837	ALSECERI	FV	☐ 810 Selective ☐ 850 Securit			
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits		380 Other Personal		0 Fair Labor Standards	s [IIA (1395ff)		Exchan		iounies	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		Act	9	J 862 E	lack Lung (923	3)	☐ 875 Custom		inge	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability ☐ ☐ 360 Other Personal	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Labor Mgmt, Relation 0 Labor Mgmt, Reporting			OIWC'DIWW (SID Title XVI		12 USC ☐ 890 Other S		Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	Market		& Disclosure Act		3 865 F	SI (405(g))		☐ 891 Agricul			
REAL PROPERTY		PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vaca		 Railway Labor Act Other Labor Litigation 	00		axes (U.S. Plai		 □ 892 Econom □ 893 Enviro 			ct
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ ☐ 442 Employment	Sentence		1 Empl. Ret, Inc.	OII I		r Defendant)	mun	 □ 893 Enviro □ 894 Energy 			
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	1	3 871 I	RS-Third Part	ty	☐ 895 Freedon			
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 444 Welfare			IMMIGRATION	-	2	6 USC 7609		Act 900Appeal	of Fan D	tarminati	on
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -		her	2 Naturalization Appli	ication					Equal Acc		OII
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	신 - 이번에 하게 있는 게 하는 사이가 하다면 하나 되었다.		3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee	1				to Justic		-6	
	☐ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - ☐ Other	555 Prison Condition		5 Other Immigration					☐ 950 Constit State St		or	
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights		S. S. J. S. S.	Actions								
▼1 Original □ 2 R		emanded from		stated of 5	Transfe	district		lultidistr	ict 🗖 7	Appeal t Judge fro Magistra	om ite	 et
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statu	te under which you a	ire filing		(specify etional		s unless dive	ersity):		Judgmer	ıt	_
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of caus Violation of Califo	e:									•	_
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. 22		N D	EMAND \$	·		CHECK Y		if demanded in	compla No		
	F(C)						VOILI DE					_
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions)	UDGE				_ DOG	CKET NUMB	ER _				_
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A		OF RECORD								_
12/01/2011		s/ Todd Atkins										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				. , _								_
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUD	GE		N	AG. JUI	X GE			